UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,149	01/23/2007	David N. Watkins	JHU2050-1	5576
Lisa A. Haile, J	7590 07/17/200 .D. Ph.D.	EXAMINER		
DLA Piper US	LLP	HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA		
4365 Executive Drive Suite 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA	92121-2133	1643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,149	WATKINS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
I .			

	Sheela J. Huff	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice o replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	f Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered be	cause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see N0 w);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	jootoa olamiio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-17 and 19-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24-60.		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		in condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Sheela J Huff/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1643		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The specification provides support for the method of reducing or inhibiting metastasis of SCLC not inhibiting metastasis of metastatic SCLC. Thus, the addition of inhibiting metastasis or metastatic SCLC is new matter...

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): IF, IF the amendment had been entered then applicant's would be granted the priority to 10/20/03 and the art rejection over Watkins would be withdrawn..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the art rejection over Dudek et al, and the rjection over Ling et al, applicant argues that the references do not teach the inhibition of metastatic SCLC. in paragraph 0081 of Dudek et al, the reference states that carcinoma includes metastatic cancer and in paragraph 627 of Ling the reference states that the antagonists can be used for tumor metastasis. Thus, the reference do disclose the inhibition of metastatic cancers. With respect to the 103 rejections, applicant argues that the references do not teach the inhibition of metastatic SCLC. Both Dudek and Ling do teach this and thus the combination of the antagonists of Dudek and Ling with the antagonists of Chen would also be expected to inhibit metastatic SCLC. With resepct to the papers for the terminal disclaimer, applicant needs to provide a new terminal disclaimer (without the fee) and a 3.73(B) certificate(this would allow the power of attorney to be valid).